

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/763,608 | Applicant(s) SOBEL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Sherali Ishrat | Art Unit 2624 | |

All Participants:

(1) Sherali Ishrat.

(2) Kenneth Nigon.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 1 June 2007

Time: 1:00 PM

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 NA

Claims discussed:
 37-38

Prior art documents discussed:
 NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 SHRATI SHERALI
 PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's Attorney that pending claims 19-36 are allowable over prior art of record. However claims 36-37 raises the issue of 35 USC 101 because claims 37-38 recite "A carrire wave carrying a sequence of instruction". In order to expedite the prosecution of the application Applicant's Attorney agreed to cancel claims 37-38 by an examiner's amendment.